

Tackling Root causes Upstream of Unhealthy Urban Development



Quantifying the health impacts of alternative urban development scenarios using the HAUS tool

Eleanor Eaton & Alistair Hunt University of Bath















Problem statement

- The environment around the home can have a significant impact on our health for good or for ill.
- But how can policy makers understand and plan for healthier urban spaces?
- One problem is evidence: There are thousands of studies on environmental impacts on health. There are also many different ways to estimate the cost of these impacts.
- It is not quick or easy to access this evidence to quantify the health impact of a single intervention.

Contribution: What this model adds

We introduce an approach which can help policy makers identify pathways to health, understand how different groups are vulnerable to potential risks, and quantify the magnitude of potential health impacts related to changes to the environment in different scenarios.

How HAUS works

Tackling Root causes Upstream of Unhealthy Urban Development





INPUTS

Evidence: Health impacts

Tackling Root causes Upstream of Unhealthy Urban Development





Legend

- Opposite
 Building design
- Transport
- Natural environment
- Community Infrastructure
- Socio-economics
- Climate change
- Health outcome

The societal cost of poor health

Tackling Root causes Upstream of Unhealthy Urban Development





HAUS Valuation Model: Example

Tackling Root causes Upstream of Unhealthy Urban Development



User inputs

Outputs



Application of HAUS: Bristol – Frome Gateway



Frome Gateway Regeneration Area

Core Regeneration Area

This area will see significant change as land is brought forward for redevelopment. The Regeneration Framework will outline design and development proposals within this area and guide the future delivery of new and improved homes, jobs, public and green spaces, and infrastructure.

Wider area of local context

The area surrounding the core regeneration area will not be subject to these development proposals, however it is important to consider how any development works with and are connected into the surrounding area.

© Copyright Bristol City Council 2021

Study provides input on the development of the Frome Gateway Strategic Regeneration Framework (SRF): detailed information on expected health outcomes related to possible land uses on the site

Development scenarios:

A: Baseline (Unmanaged Approach)

B: Minimum Policy Compliant: a new mixeduse neighbourhood

C: Strategic Approach: additional changes to public spaces – green space

D: Ideal: down-grade of main road; Maximum provision of affordable homes

Summary of estimated value of health outcomes over 25 years: 8,500 people within 300m of Frome Gateway Site

	Value of attributable health outcomes over project lifetime				
HUDU Category	A: Unmanaged Approach	B: Minimum Policy Compliant	C1: Strategic Approach	C2: Strategic Approach	D: Ideal
Housing design and affordability	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Access to open space and nature	-30.49	-30.49	-59.67	-79.59	-181.91
Air quality, noise and neighbourhood amenity					
Air Pollution	135.59	135.59	135.59	135.59	17.80
Noise Pollution	12.23	12.23	11.00	11.00	0.00
Accessibility and active travel					
Walking and cycling	0.00	-37.91	-37.91	-37.91	-37.91
Traffic calming measures	13.26	13.26	-12.91	-12.91	-20.74
Crime reduction and community safety	21.28	21.17	20.73	20.73	20.28
Access to healthy food	-1.48	-1.48	-1.48	-1.48	-3.21
Climate change					
Overheating	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.12
Flooding	2.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ADJUSTED TOTAL	154.15	113.62	56.59	36.67	-204.57
NET PRESENT VALUE	101.27	73.88	36.42	21.64	-135.01
NET CHANGE FROM BASELINE	-	-40.53	-97.56	-117.48	-358.72
NPV OF CHANGE	-	-27.39	-64.86	-79.63	-236.29

(Negative values (in green) indicate reductions in health costs, positive values (in red), indicate potential additional health costs) Values in Million £2023, NPV (Net present value of health changes) adjusted for 3.5% discount rate

Who bears the cost of illness?

Annual costs per individual (£2023)

Tackling Root causes Upstream of Unhealthy Urban Development





Composition of societal costs for different health outcomes

9



Concluding Comments

- Quantitative tool to inform economic appraisal of urban development plans constructed using existing evidence base (plus new survey work on mental health impacts)
- Currently informing local and national (UK DLUHC) decision-making
- Future work envisaged to:
 - Address evidence gaps in epidemiological and economic literature
 - Broaden range of applications by user and scale.